35 responses

Summary See complete responses

Please check each responsibility that accounts for a significant portion (at least 10%) of your time at work:

- Acquisitions: 12 (35%)
- Administration: 15 (44%)
- Cataloging: 29 (85%)
- Circulation: 2 (6%)
- Collection Development: 13 (38%)
- Government Documents: 6 (18%)
- ILS Management: 15 (44%)
- Interlibrary Loan: 0 (0%)
- IT (general): 3 (9%)
- Knowledge Management: 2 (6%)
- Preservation: 6 (18%)
- Reference: 6 (18%)
- Serials Management: 12 (35%)
- Teaching: 1 (3%)
- Web Management: 6 (18%)
- Other: 7 (21%)

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Please check the category that best describes your current status or employer (library) type:

- County or State Law Library: 1 (3%)
- Court Library: 0 (0%)
- Law Firm Library: 1 (3%)
- Law School Library: 32 (94%)
- Other Private Law Library: 0 (0%)
- Student: 0 (0%)
- Unemployed: 0 (0%)
- Other: 0 (0%)

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Please select the answer that represents the number of years you have worked in a law library:
People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Did you attend the 2012 AALL Annual Meeting in Boston, MA?

Yes: 24 (71%)
No: 10 (29%)

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Do you plan on attending the 2013 AALL Annual Meeting in Seattle, WA?

Yes: 27 (84%)
No: 5 (16%)

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Please indicate which OBS sponsored programs and discussion groups you attended at the 2012 Annual Meeting (please select all that apply):

- A3: Guerrilla Usability Testing
- OBS-SIS Program: Who Wants to Be an Acronymaire? The New Game Show for Law Librarians
- OBS-SIS Program: Local Systems Roundtable – What Does the Next Generation System Look Like?
- OBS-SIS Program: OCLC Users Roundtable
- OBS-SIS Program: Researchers Roundtable
- OBS-SIS Program: Hot Topic – Are E-books the Future?
- K5: Modeling Subject Authority Data: FRSAD Overview and Implementation Examples

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Please give us your feedback on the relevance of these 2012 OBS sponsored programs:

- A3: Guerrilla Usability Testing

- Very Relevant: 6 (17%)
- Moderately Relevant: 4 (11%)
- Not Relevant: 0 (0%)
- N/A: 11 (31%)
Please give us your feedback on the relevance of these 2012 OBS sponsored programs:

- **OBS-SIS Program: Who Wants to Be an Acronymaire? The New Game Show for Law Librarians**
  - Very Relevant: 2 (6%)
  - Moderately Relevant: 6 (17%)
  - Not Relevant: 1 (3%)
  - N/A: 14 (40%)

- **D1: The Innovative Interfaces/SkyRiver vs. OCLC Lawsuit: Who Wins? Who Loses?**
  - Very Relevant: 5 (14%)
  - Moderately Relevant: 4 (11%)
  - Not Relevant: 0 (0%)
  - N/A: 13 (37%)

- **OBS-SIS Program: Hot Topic – Are E-books the Future?**
  - Very Relevant: 11 (31%)
  - Moderately Relevant: 1 (3%)
  - Not Relevant: 0 (0%)
  - N/A: 11 (31%)

- **OBS-SIS Program: Local Systems Roundtable – What Does the Next Generation System Look Like?**
  - Very Relevant: 10 (29%)
  - Moderately Relevant: 1 (3%)
  - Not Relevant: 1 (3%)
  - N/A: 8 (23%)

- **OBS-SIS Program: OCLC Users Roundtable**
Please give us your feedback on the relevance of these 2012 OBS sponsored programs:

- OBS-SIS Program: Researchers Roundtable

  Very Relevant: 1 (3%)
  Moderately Relevant: 2 (6%)
  Not Relevant: 1 (3%)
  N/A: 16 (46%)

Please give us your feedback on the relevance of these 2012 OBS sponsored programs:

- K5: Modeling Subject Authority Data: FRSAD Overview and Implementation Examples

  Very Relevant: 8 (23%)
  Moderately Relevant: 7 (20%)
  Not Relevant: 0 (0%)
  N/A: 6 (17%)

Please indicate your interest in these potential 2013 OBS sponsored programs and roundtables:

- OBS-SIS Linked Data: the New Bibliographic Framework in the Post-MARC World

  Very Relevant: 22 (63%)
  Moderately Relevant: 7 (20%)
  Not Relevant: 0 (0%)
  N/A: 2 (6%)

Please indicate your interest in these potential 2013 OBS sponsored programs and roundtables:

- Hot Topics in library technology as discussed by American Libraries columnist Joe Janes
Please indicate your interest in these potential 2013 OBS sponsored programs and roundtables: - OBS-SIS
Program: Local Systems Roundtable - Orbis Cascade Alliance's migration to a new cloud-based shared consoritical system

Very Relevant 11 31%
Moderately Relevant 10 29%
Not Relevant 7 20%
N/A 2 6%

Please indicate your interest in these potential 2013 OBS sponsored programs and roundtables: - OBS-SIS
Program: OCLC Users Roundtable with Glenn Paton

Very Relevant 13 37%
Moderately Relevant 14 40%
Not Relevant 0 0%
N/A 2 6%

Please indicate your interest in these potential 2013 OBS sponsored programs and roundtables: - OBS-SIS
Program: Researchers Roundtable - Passport to Research

Very Relevant 4 11%
Moderately Relevant 15 43%
Not Relevant 5 14%
N/A 5 14%

What kind of topics would you like to have programs on?
Test I like technical topics with practical outcomes--either explaining new concepts such as the FRSAD program last year and the Linked Data topic this year, or specific informative programs such as the Local Systems Roundtable topic this year. I'm not as interested in more general topics such as the Hot Topic this year because I think general "interest" programs are usually focused on public services and of not much use to me in my daily work. I also don't like "feel-good" programs because they aren't useful either. Systems' implementation of RDA/FRBR, management of e-resources, automated aut...
We are looking at expanding education opportunities for our members. Would you be interested in OBS putting together webinars. If so, please let us know what topics you would like to see covered.

I would LOVE to view OBS-sponsored webinars, especially if they were free or low cost. I would like to see any technology-related webinars, and I think rebroadcasting relevant programs from the AALL Annual Meeting and from regional (WestPac, SEALL, SWALL, etc.) Annual Meetings would be a service to all OBS members, especially those with little or no budgets to travel to conferences. It would also allow busy AALL attendees to view programs that they missed because of scheduling conflicts or to review interesting programs that they enjoyed at the conference. Yes! This is a good way of sharing...

Please answer some questions about Technical Services Law Librarian (our quarterly newsletter).
Please evaluate TSLL columns based on their value to your current job and professional development.

- Acquisitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Very Relevant</th>
<th>Moderately Relevant</th>
<th>Not Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Very Relevant</th>
<th>Moderately Relevant</th>
<th>Not Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Collection Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Very Relevant</th>
<th>Moderately Relevant</th>
<th>Not Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Description and Entry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Very Relevant</th>
<th>Moderately Relevant</th>
<th>Not Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please answer some questions about Technical Services Law Librarian (our quarterly newsletter).
Please evaluate TSLL columns based on their value to your current job and professional development. - The Internet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Relevant</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Relevant</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please answer some questions about Technical Services Law Librarian (our quarterly newsletter).
Please evaluate TSLL columns based on their value to your current job and professional development. - MARC Remarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Relevant</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Relevant</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please answer some questions about Technical Services Law Librarian (our quarterly newsletter).
Please evaluate TSLL columns based on their value to your current job and professional development. - Management Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Relevant</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Relevant</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please answer some questions about Technical Services Law Librarian (our quarterly newsletter).
Please evaluate TSLL columns based on their value to your current job and professional development. - OCLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Relevant</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Relevant</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please answer some questions about Technical Services Law Librarian (our quarterly newsletter).
Please evaluate TSLL columns based on their value to your current job and professional development. - Preservation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Relevant</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Relevant</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please answer some questions about Technical Services Law Librarian (our quarterly newsletter). Please evaluate TSLL columns based on their value to your current job and professional development.

**- Private Law Libraries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Relevant</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Relevant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**- Research and Publication**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Relevant</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Relevant</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**- Serials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Relevant</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Relevant</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**- Serials issues**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Relevant</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Relevant</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**- Subject Headings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Relevant</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Relevant</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please answer some questions about Technical Services Law Librarian (our quarterly newsletter). Please evaluate TSLL columns based on their value to your current job and professional development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Relevant</th>
<th>Moderately Relevant</th>
<th>Not Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please rank the overall quality of TSLL:

- Excellent: 22 (69%)
- Good: 10 (31%)
- Fair: 0 (0%)
- Poor: 0 (0%)

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

How much of each TSLL issue do you read?

- 90-100%: 10 (31%)
- 70-89%: 13 (41%)
- 40-69%: 5 (16%)
- less than 40% (just a couple of columns): 4 (13%)

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Please let us know what could be improved in TSLL. List any areas of interest not currently covered or general comments:

- Love it!! I read every issue cover to cover. Keep up the good work! Other than publishing more often (which I know isn't realistic), I really can't think of anything. It's great to have it on HeinOnline too, so I can search older issues.
- I'd like to see program reports for other than AALL programs in TSLL. But other than that, I can't thing of anything TSLL isn't already doing very well.
- I honestly didn't know this newsletter existed, so I would say you could do a better job promoting it...
- I think topically some of the columns could use a facelift. One thing that jumps out is "The Internet..."

Please offer us some feedback on the OBS-SIS website (http://www.aallnet.org/sis/obssis/):

- Needs to be updated more frequently/reliably. I like it, given the framework you have to work with. I personally think it needs some photos or something to make it more lively, like the main AALL page, but that may not be possible.
- There is a lot of out-of-date information on it. It reflects badly on OBS. I had never before visited the OBS-SIS website, but on my first time, these were my impressions: first accessed on 2/1/2013 at 8:36 am EST - my first impression is that it's simple and clean, but needs to be updated to look like it fits in this decade... - the Committees quick link doesn't...

We cannot do this alone. Let us know if you are interested in the following positions:

[Edit form - [OBS-SIS 2013 Membership Survey] - Google Docs](https://docs.google.com/a/jenkinslaw.org/spreadsheet/gform?key=0Ai8m...)
We are currently working on revising our OBS-SIS Strategic Plan. Please let us know if you have any suggestions to improve this plan:

- No comments at this point
- Looks great! Thanks to the committee for updating it!
- It looks good to me. I'd like OBS to consider developing our own mentors or experts so that we can concentrate on OBS issues. I have mixed results with the AALL mentoring program--about half the time I am not mentoring a t.s. librarian and I feel that isn't a good use of my time or the person I'm mentoring. I love the Alphabet Soup reception because it's a good chance to connect. I'd like to see an "expert program" developed for things like commonly used software (MSOffice, statistical programs) for librarians who...

How can OBS serve you better?

- I'm very satisfied with what OBS does and don't have any suggestions right now.
- Communicate with members more about what the Executive Board is doing behind the scenes and what I can do to help. This is non-existent now, so I rarely if ever think about OBS.
- I apologize, but this is not a question that I have thought much about. I have not been a member of AALL or the OBS for long, and I would like to learn more about opportunities for me to serve and learn from colleagues.
- OBS is a fabulous group. I wish we...

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.