
Visitors: Eileen Smith, Roberta Engleman, Stephen Skuce, Mary Faith Pankin, Nancy Kandoian, Scott Carlisle, Charles Croissant, Martha Lawler, Beth Russell, Mary Elder, Sarah Schmidt Fisher, Virginia Bartow, Robert Hall, Margaret Nichols, John Attig, Mark Darby Jean Loup, Elizabeth Robinson, Elizabeth O'Keefe, Jane Gillis, Juliet McLaren, Deborah J. Leslie, Nancy Kandoian,

1. SETTLEMENT OF AGENDA AND INTRODUCTIONS
After members and visitors introduced themselves the agenda was settled.

2. MINUTES OF THE 2000 ANNUAL CONFERENCE
Minutes from the 2000 Annual Conference were approved.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS
Larry Creider was excused.

IFLA announced that ISBDA will be available on their www site.

Huntington Library has the position of Principal Rare Book Cataloger open.


4. BIBLIOGRAPHIC STANDARDS COMMITTEE-RULE INTERPRETATION(BSC-RI) - ESTABLISHING CERTAIN NAMES ASSOCIATED WITH PRINTERS: http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/bscri.htm
Russell reported on progress of the new BSC RI that creates rules for establishing certain names associated with printers and the changes that came of a meeting with LC. Proposed changes included:

- rewording the introduction
- expanded use of see and see also references
- introduction of the concept of partnerships (e.g. Grabhorn-Hoyem(Firm)).

Leslie disagreed with the prominence of 22.1B in the introduction and noted that several examples had typos (the Anderson example was specifically mentioned). Maxwell and Russell
noted that 22.1B was cited in order to allow the use of reference sources. Noble asked a question regarding what case the name should be entered. Text changed to read: Establish the name as a corporate body, in direct order in the nominative case. Robinson will talk to Ann Della Porta about placing the RI in the NACO manual and in Z1. Russell will proof read.

5. BSC-RI - PROPOSAL FOR FAMILIES OF PRINTERS.
http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/bscrifam.htm
Russell and Smith proposed a RI that would allow the creation of authority records for families in the Name Authority File for use in either provenance or printer/publisher tracings. Currently family names can only be established as subjects, however family added entries can be made for manuscript material. Russell and Smith proposed that family level names be established for use as a printer/bookseller family or as a family for provenance reasons. Individual names can be established as well if seen as necessary. A major issue is whether LC will allow family names to be established in the Name File. Bartow raised the issue of the public service impact of the different forms of names, especially when one does not have complete information. Robinson mentioned that this could be addressed through the use of cross-references. Robinson asked about the use of the plural form of family names for the provenance tracing. Noble noted that the 720 field was approved for MARC for uncontrolled names. Russell proposed further discussion of the use of family names for provenance. It was decided Robinson will ask appropriate bodies at LC regarding the possibility of allowing the creation of family names in the name authority file for use in provenance and printer names. In the meantime BSC would continue to discuss the issue and refine its proposal.

6. RESOURCES FOR RARE MATERIALS CATALOGER
http://www.library.upenn.edu/ipc/rarecat.html
Maxwell reported for Creider regarding recent updates. The following links were added under Rare Book Cataloging Sites and Resources: the BSC's list of relator terms, the Rare Materials Cataloger’s HelpNet, the DCRB Core, the RBMS Thesauri home pages, and they are University Rare Book Team Web Page. Fewer changes were made to the Reference Sources. Links were added to "Lives, the Biography resource", and a Martyrology for the Dominicans Order. The Calendar Converter is currently unavailable due to a change of server. Under History of the Rare Book and Printing, the Italian Il Libro anticolink now leads to a general site for the University of Udine. If anyone knows where the has site has gone please contact Larry Creider. Under Special Topics for Rare Books a link was made to Yale's table of pagination for different signature and format combinations. The other big change is the creation of a new section entitled Images of Rare Books. This section links to sites which contain collections of digital facsimiles of early imprints. Please let Crieder know if this section is of use, or of other possible sites to include. In the catalogs section, a link to Glasgow University Special Collections was added. The section on manuscripts has received a few additions. There are links to cataloging rules for the MASTER project and to the Reference Manual to the DTD for the MASTER project. The draft DTD is no longer available to non-project members. Links were also made to Glasgow University's manuscripts catalog and to an NLM site for Islamic medical manuscripts. Noble noted that digital site is growing baggy. (What did he mean by this??)
Since Larry Creider is leaving the committee after Annual, a replacement is necessary. Jennifer O’Brien Roper volunteered to assume responsibility for maintaining the site.

7. DCRB revision. [http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/dcrb/dcrbrev.htm](http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/dcrb/dcrbrev.htm)

Reports
a. DCRM/ISBD Comparison - Noble.
Noble reported that he is making progress in his comparison of DCRB with ISBD (G). He currently needs to separate general rules from book specific rules. Noble wants to revisit the decision that we structure DCRM on ISBD, since we appear to have moved away from the structure of AACR2. In ISBD “A” the areas are comparable with DCRB, however he noted several discrepancies between the two and will post a document to the list serve addressing them. ISBD (A) maintains the printer, place of manufacture; and noted that “e, f, g” in 260 aren’t repeatable. ISBD (A) also has rules for series; that aren’t in DCRB, but are necessary for 19th and 20th century materials. Noble will send a report comparing ISBD (A) and DCRB to dcrb-l.

b. Maps
Nancy Kandoian of NYPL reported for the Map Section. The map cataloging community is in the process of revising the 1982 rules for map cataloging. A subcommittee including Velma Parker of Canada and Nancy Kandoian is addressing the issue of rare maps. They recurrently using a combination of DCRB & AACR2R rules for cataloging maps. This subcommittee has submitted their revisions to the compiler of the Map Cataloging Rules. A question was raised how should we refer to these rules in DCRM. Leslie asked if we could get a copy of the draft sent to Maxwell who would submit it to dcrb-l. Kandoian was appointed the official liaison to the Map Cataloging community.

c. 0 section. [http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/dcrb/0revapp.htm](http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/dcrb/0revapp.htm) and [http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/dcrb/0summary.htm](http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/dcrb/0summary.htm)
Maxwell reviewed progress to date. Leslie requested that the word “full” be deleted from the first paragraph. Fletcher made a suggestion regarding brackets; Use brackets … 0E punctuation liked DCRB wording instead of AACR2?? [Waiting for clarification from Fletcher]. It was suggested that a section be added that describes the issues of using original vs. prescribed punctuation. Noble suggested and others agreed that the instructions regarding transcribing punctuation including virgules and dashes be moved to 0H. Leslie and Fletcher preferred to retain DCRB’s language in 0E, fifth paragraph, since it is clearer then in AACR2. Fletcher will make a presentation to dcrb-l regarding other places where DCRB is clearer then AACR2. Pass will send comments regarding 0J and abbreviations to dcrb-l.

d. Chapter 2 comparison - Roper. [http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/dcrb/2.htm](http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/dcrb/2.htm)
Roper made a report highlighting the differences of Chapter 2 of DCRB with 1.2 and 2.2 of AACR2. The first major difference noted was 2.B1. It was proposed that the rules refer back to the original rules for transcription 0H and add a good example. Roper will propose new language for Chapter 2 using AACR2 wording. She will propose different language for 2B5.
Pass led a brief discussion of the differences between AACR2 and DCRB with regard to physical description. The first difference discussed was 5.B1. Pass proposed that DCRB 5B1 be divided into 2 rules (as AACR2 2.5B1/2.5B2) treating taxonomy and numeration separately. Long discussion followed regarding leaves (printed on one or both sides) and how to count them. No decision was reached. Noble suggested that we review the examples again. Pass was to lead further discussion on dcrb-l.

Fletcher reported on task group progress since Annual. Preliminary rules for rare printed music have been developed. The task force was to meet again at Music Library Association Annual meeting to review their progress. Fletcher would have a full report for annual. In conjunction with Karen Spicher of Yale, progress was also made in developing rules for cataloging manuscript music. Currently "alpha" testing of the rules for printed music is occurring with the goal of having a more detailed report for annual.

AMREMM - Pass
Pass discussed the CC:DA report on AMREMM. In general the report is favorable. Among the issues raised were the creation of uniform title, the difference between the physical item and the work itself, punctuation, making the language of the title and statement of responsibility consistent, and was title transcription necessary or desirable. Comments regarding specific rules included:

- 1B1.42 do not include brackets around marks of omission.
- 1.B2.3.3 No need to include legal documents and archival documents since guidelines exist in APPM since it reverts back to AACR2.
- 4C. Place of production. CC:DA approved it. Wants to see the place of production, input it as it appears, if it appears, or supply it in a standardized form. A vote will be held on dcrb-l
- 5C2. A desire to express how many illustrations, perhaps as an option.
- 7B19. Preferred form of citation/current shelfmark. Confused between citation and shelfmark.
- 7b27. Cataloging history. Leslie noted to keep it. The committee recommended dropping it since no other rules called for it.
- Appendix C. Recommended that we omit it. Pass was willing to drop.

Maxwell reported that ACRL was willing to publish AMREMM, and hopefully will have it available by annual. A question was raised regarding an online version, and Maxwell will investigate with ACRL.

Robinson will ask LC whether there is someone who can review with the examples with regards to codes, subfields, and indicators.

CC:DA & MARBI
Maxwell reported that the Joint Steering Committee announced the availability of a packet that
contains changes to Chapter 9 and other sections of AACR2. Among the other proposed changes that will interests:

- A list of initial articles will be added to AACR2.
- Still under discussion is the chapter on serials that hopefully published will be published this summer.
- Abbreviate abbreviations? In Series and edition statements.
- Proposal to change "the rule of three" from an absolute requirement to an option.
- New rules on titles of nobility proposed that will drop titles of nobility from headings and description.
- An appendix is being created that will instruct when to create a new record, which will also affect the impact on the utilities.

Maxwell will post the proposal to the Committee. It was suggested that perhaps DCRB should also include section on when to create new records. Leslie recommended that we think carefully before proceeding since each library has different needs.

Attig reported that there was nothing of interest at MARBI.

10. THESAURI.

a. Discussion [http://libweb.uoregon.edu/catdept/home/genreterms/winter.01.html](http://libweb.uoregon.edu/catdept/home/genreterms/winter.01.html)

Bruce Tabb reported for the Thesauri Committee (other members of the Committee are Richard Noble, Patrick Russell, Elizabeth Robinson, and Deborah J. Leslie (ex-officio)). The Committee met Saturday after the first meeting of the Bibliographic Standards Committee.

Tabb and Laura Stalker have been in contact to reconcile thesauri lists. AAT will be the leader in this process. Hopefully he will have more to report at annual. Leslie, Robinson, Jerry Wager met with Randall Barry and Sally McCallum regarding the creation of a code for "contributer". The scope note was clarified and Lacerated a code for contributer.

- Collaborator [clb] - Use for a person or corporate body that takes a limited part in the elaboration of a work of another author or that brings complements (e.g. appendices, notes) to that work of another author
- Contributer [ctb] - Use for one whose work has been contributed to a larger work, such as a monographic anthology, serial publication, or other compilation of individual works. Do not use for someone whose sole function in relation to a work is as editor, author, compiler translator.

During their meeting it was made clear that the MARC standards office sees itself as a code issuer, not as the arbiter of relator terms. Discussion ensued about the relationship with the codes and terms. Noted that LC controls the codes; Bib Standard has its recommended list of terms. Tabb will propose |ewitness to LC for a code.
Thesaurus Terms.
a. Antiabolition, antislave trade, antislavery literature.
The Thesauri subcommittee made the recommendation to postpone a decision regarding Antiabolition literature, antislave trade literature, antislavery literature in order to allow them time to create better hierarchy for this and other related material. BSC agreed decided to follow this recommendation.
b. Flap bindings.
The next genre term discussed was 'Flap bindings'. The request was to add SN and UF of Envelope flaps to existing term. The additions were approved by the committee.
c. Pre-ornamented cloth bindings.
The committee recommended approving this addition to the binding thesaurus. In discussion, concern was expressed regarding the language of the scope note. It was decided to approve for use with the proviso to check with Sue Allen with regards to the language of the scope note. The approved revised language for the scope note reads:

Use for cloth bindings produced ca. 1835-1846, characterized by a central ornament in which the cloth was ornamented before the case was made, as described by Krupp & Rosner, "Pre-Ornamented Book cloth on Nineteenth-Century Cloth Bindings," PBSA 94:2.

A general discussion ensued regarding the creation of authority headings for the thesauri terms. Among the issues discussed were who would create the genre authority records, how to maintain this file, whether to make the terms available online elsewhere, who will control these headings, and who will be able to add or edit the terms. Tabb will contact Hugh Thomson at ACRL regarding the possibility of mounting the genre headings on the www or making them available on the Cataloger’s Desktop. Leslie noted that these are thesauri, and part of their effectiveness is their relationships with each other. Maxwell also announced that Bruce Tabb is the new Thesauri editor appointed for a two year term, followed by the option of a second term. It still needed to be decided when his term began.

11. NINETEENTH CENTURY -
Report to be posted by Schroeder this spring..

12. RECON SURVEY - Schroeder:  http://www.library.yale.edu/bibstand/survey98.htm
Schroeder reported on current status and requested guidance on the future of the site. It was agreed that he would contact the libraries who originally noted that they were in process of recon and request an update of their progress. After receiving this data, the site would be updated and left as a resource on the BSC www site.

13. HELPNET - Schroeder:  http://www.library.yale.edu/bibstand/resource.html
Schroeder reported on changes made. An announcement was made on RBMS, Ex-Libris, and Autocat. A category for languages was added. In discussion it was decided to combine genre and material type into one category. Schroeder will make the updates and send out an update to people on the previously mentioned listserves that this site exists.
14. SINGLE SHEET PUBLICATIONS - McLaren
http://www.lib.byu.edu/~catalog/people/rlm/bsc/dcrb/single.htm
McLaren presented her work. Discussion focused on how this section fits into the organization of DCRM. McLaren proposed that it remain an appendix. Another possibility is to add rules to the books format. Noble and Maxwell supported the idea of the appendix. It was decided to treat now as an appendix, and decide its final location later. McLaren will post her additional updates to dcrb-l.

15. DCRS - Gillis & McLaren http://www.library.yale.edu/conser/documents/dcrs.html
Gillis reported on the recent revisions of DCRM(S). Both Gillis and McLaren emphasized the need for feedback regarding the proposal rules. Noble asked that a revision date be added to this and other CRM documents Maxwell said that he had several minor corrections that he will send. Gillis and McLaren agreed to review each section of the rules on dcrb-l, requesting comments and reactions to sections that are different then rules for books or modern serials. Gillis noted that some of the rules will be in both Conser and DCRM (S). Gillis asked whether we could have a hearing at annual regarding serials, but no decision was made at this point. Discussion ensued about the degree of specificity required for cataloging of serials, i.e. how much detail required in DCRM(S) as opposed to the Conser, AACR2, etc. It was suggested that an overview or introduction be written that would describe the philosophical principals for cataloging rare serials. Once again a plea for comments regarding the rules, typos or confusion.

16. PRE-CONFERENCE REPORTS

a. 2001 pre-conference
No presentation for 20th century unless another version of the serials workshop is presented.

b. 2002 pre-conference.
The theme is New Occasions, New Duties: Changing Roles and Expectations in Special Collections. Ideas for seminars and workshops were discussed. Among the suggestions were a rare music cataloging workshop, a seminar on the digitization of rare material and how it is cataloged and a seminar or discussion on new ILS development and the impact on cataloging. Another suggestion was a session on the hiring and training new catalogers. Gillis suggested a standing meeting regarding system issues wants/desires; perhaps suborganized by vendor. A final suggestion was a seminar on form/genre headings and their application.

17. LETTER TO VENDORS REGARDING 1xx/240 AUTHORITY CONTROL.
Maxwell proposed writing a letter to the major vendors emphasizing the need to bring the 1xx/240 fields under authority control. Attig noted it as an indexing issue of creating an index that spans two fields. It was agreed that Maxwell will draft a letter to the vendors that requests they address this shortcoming in their systems.

18. NEW BUSINESS

Elizabeth Robinson was appointed LC representative to Bib. Standards.
Respectfully submitted,

Secretary
E.C. Schroeder